For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	١

GREG LUBISCH,) No. C 13-05045 EJD (PR)
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH LEAVE TO AMEND; DENYING MOTION FOR SERVICE OF COMPLAINT; DENYING MOTION FOR TRIAL
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	
Defendants.) _) (Docket Nos. 2 & 12)

On October 29, 2013, Plaintiff, who appears to be a pretrial detainee in the County of San Francisco, filed a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, by filing a two page document consisting of two paragraphs. He claims that he was "physically assaulted," "illegally jailed," and "[f]orced through Napa State Hospital on false Penal Code 1370 proceedings from 1/11/12 - 10/16/12. No trial. False criminal proceedings for second time." (Docket No. 1 at 1.) However, Plaintiff provides insufficient facts, e.g., name of defendants, date of the alleged assault, and underlying criminal charges, to support these vague and general allegations. Furthermore, the complaint is deficient because the complaint fails to provide information regarding the exhaustion of administrative remedies. Accordingly, this

Court has no choice but to dismiss the complaint with leave to amend.¹

Plaintiff's motion for service of the complaint, (Docket No. 2), and motion for trial, (Docket No. 12), are DENIED as premature.

Plaintiff is advised that to state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. See West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988). Plaintiff must identify defendants by name and state how each individual defendant violated a specific federal right.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court orders as follows:

The complaint is DISMISSED with leave to amend. Within **twenty-eight** (28) days of the date this order is filed, Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint. The amended complaint must include the caption and civil case number used in this order and the words "AMENDED COMPLAINT" on the first page and write in the case number for this action, Case No. C 13-05045 EJD (PR). If using the court form complaint, Plaintiff must answer all the questions on the form in order for the action to proceed.

Failure to respond in accordance with this order by filing an amended complaint will result in the dismissal of this action without prejudice and without further notice to Plaintiff.

The Clerk shall include two copies of the court's complaint with a copy of this order to Plaintiff.

///

¹Exhaustion is mandatory and no longer left to the discretion of the district court. Woodford v. Ngo, 548 U.S. 81, 84 (2006) (citing Booth v. Churner, 532 U.S. 731, 739 (2001)).

DATED: 4/9/2014

EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GREG LUBISCH,	Case Number: CV13-05045 EJD
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.	
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRA	ANCISCO,
Defendants.	/
I, the undersigned, hereby certify tha Court, Northern District of California	at I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District a.
That on 4/10/2014 attached, by placing said copy(ies) in hereinafter listed, by depositing said an inter-office delivery receptacle location.	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the n a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into cated in the Clerk's office.
Greg Lubisch J-12674079 Hall of Justice 850 Bryant Street, 7 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103	
Dated:	
	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/ By: Elizabeth Garcia, Deputy Clerk